



REMARKS

2 Once again applicant thanks the Examiner for finding allowable subject matter in Claim 26 in the
3 Office Action dated August 13, 2003. To expedite prosecution of the allowable claim(s), applicant
4 amended Claim 26 to include all of the limitations of Claim 1 (as suggested by the Examiner), and added
5 new Claims 27-42 (which were dependent Claims 3 and 5-25 rewritten to depend from amended Claim
6 26). In the Office Action dated December 1, 2003, the Examiner indicated that applicant "did not amend
7 claim 26 to include all the limitations of claim 1" but rather "amended apparatus claim 1 to include the
8 limitations of method claim 26". In response, applicant has now amended Claim 26 such that it now claims
9 the allowable method (as previously indicated) of applying eyeliner to the lashbed of the eyelids, which
10 incorporates the structural limitations of cancelled Claim 1.

11 In view of the foregoing, applicant respectfully submits that the present invention represents a
12 patentable contribution to the art and that Claims 26-42 are in condition for allowance. Early and favorable
13 action is accordingly solicited.

14
Dated: March 1, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

David M. Hill
Reg. No. 46,170
WARD & OLIVO
708 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 697-6262

RECEIVED
MAR 10 2004
TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700